



# Symbiosis of smart objects across IoT environments

*688156 - symbloTe - H2020-ICT-2015*

## 2<sup>nd</sup> Open Call – Evaluation Criteria

### **The symbloTe Consortium**

Intracom SA Telecom Solutions, ICOM, Greece  
Sveučiliste u Zagrebu Fakultet elektrotehnike i računarstva, UNIZG-FER, Croatia  
AIT Austrian Institute of Technology GmbH, AIT, Austria  
Nextworks Srl, NXW, Italy  
Consorzio Nazionale Interuniversitario per le Telecomunicazioni, CNIT, Italy  
ATOS Spain SA, ATOS, Spain  
University of Vienna, Faculty of Computer Science, UNIVIE, Austria  
Unidata S.p.A., UNIDATA, Italy  
Sensing & Control System S.L., S&C, Spain  
Fraunhofer IOSB, IOSB, Germany  
Ubiwhere, Lda, UW, Portugal  
VIPnet, d.o.o, VIP, Croatia  
Instytut Chemii Bioorganicznej Polskiej Akademii Nauk, PSNC, Poland  
NA.VI.GO. SCARL, NAVIGO, Italy  
Universität Zürich, UZH, Switzerland

**© Copyright 2017, the Members of the symbloTe Consortium**

*For more information on this document or the symbloTe project, please contact:*  
Sergios Soursos, INTRACOM TELECOM, [souse@intracom-telecom.com](mailto:souse@intracom-telecom.com)

# 1 Evaluation Criteria for *symbloTe-OC2-L1*, *symbloTe-OC2-L2* and *symbloTe-OC2-L3/4*

## **Evaluation Categories:**

*(Number of rating stars = 5; threshold for each question = 3/5)*

## **Request to Evaluators:**

Please provide detailed comments justifying the provided scores

<p><b>Section A: Basic Info</b></p> <p><b>Team expertise</b>  <i>Please rate the expertise and profile of the team in relation to the proposed solution.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 3/5)</i></p>
<p><b>Section B: IoT Solution</b></p> <p><b>Impact of IoT solution</b>  <i>Please rate how innovative is the described IoT solution with respect to the targeted business domains (markets), established clients and achieved partnerships.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Clarity of business vision and ambition</b>  <i>Please rate how clear and ambitious the business vision of the applicant is.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Maturity of the IoT solution involved and appropriateness of the approach</b>  <i>Please rate how mature the described IoT solution is from a technical perspective.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 9/15)</i></p>
<p><b>Section C: Innovation and impact</b></p> <p><b>Clarity of the offered resources/services and alignment with symbloTe vision</b>  <i>Please rate how clearly the resources/services to be offered are described and how aligned these offerings are with the symbloTe vision.</i></p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 6/10)</i></p>

<p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Extent of potential benefits from the involved IoT solution</b>  <i>Please rate how realistic and extensive are the envisioned benefits from joining the symbloTe ecosystem.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	
<p><b>Section D: Implementation</b></p> <p><b>Feasibility of work plan</b>  <i>Please rate how feasible is the work plan based on the requested duration, budget and timing of deliverables.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 3/5)</i></p>
<p><b>Section E: Data Management</b></p> <p><b>Soundness of approach with respect to management of sensitive/private data, if applicable</b>  <i>Please rate how sound is the (planned) approach of the described IoT solution to manage the involved sensitive/private data, in relation to the respective EU legislation.</i></p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>Not applicable, not addressed, poorly addressed, well addressed</i></p>

## 2 Evaluation Criteria for *symbloTe-OC2-Apps*

### **Evaluation Categories:**

*(Number of rating stars = 5; threshold for each question = 3/5)*

### **Request to Evaluators:**

Please provide detailed comments justifying the provided scores

<p><b>Section A: Basic Info</b></p> <p><b>Team expertise</b>  <i>Please rate the expertise and profile of the team in relation to the proposed solution.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 3/5)</i></p>
<p><b>Section B: IoT Application</b></p> <p><b>Impact of IoT application</b>  <i>Please rate how innovative is the described IoT application with respect to the targeted business domains (markets), established clients and achieved partnerships.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Clarity of business vision and ambition</b>  <i>Please rate how clear and ambitious the business vision of the applicant is.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Potential of the IoT application involved and appropriateness of the approach</b>  <i>Please rate the potential and appropriateness of the described IoT application is from a technical perspective.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 9/15)</i></p>
<p><b>Section C: Innovation and impact</b></p> <p><b>Feasibility and alignment with symbloTe ecosystem</b>  <i>Please rate how feasible the resources/services to be accessed are within the symbloTe ecosystem</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Extent of potential benefits from the involved IoT application</b></p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 9/15)</i></p>

<p><i>Please rate how realistic and extensive are the envisioned benefits from joining the symbloTe ecosystem.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Sustainability</b>  <i>Please rate the user engagement potential of the application and its sustainability and potential of deployment in real life.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	
<p><b>Section D: Implementation</b></p> <p><b>Feasibility of work plan</b>  <i>Please rate how feasible is the work plan based on the requested duration, budget and timing of deliverables.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 3/5)</i></p>
<p><b>Section E: Data Management</b></p> <p><b>Soundness of approach with respect to management of sensitive/private data, if applicable</b>  <i>Please rate how sound is the (planned) approach of the described IoT application to manage the involved sensitive/private data, in relation to the respective EU legislation.</i></p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>Not applicable, not addressed, poorly addressed, well addressed</i></p>

### 3 Evaluation Criteria for *symbloTe-OC2-Trials*

#### **Evaluation Categories:**

*(Number of rating stars = 5; threshold for each question = 3/5)*

#### **Request to Evaluators:**

Please provide detailed comments justifying the provided scores

<p><b>Section A: Basic Info</b></p> <p><b>Team expertise</b>  <i>Please rate the expertise and profile of the team in relation to the proposed solution.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 3/5)</i></p>
<p><b>Section B: Trial Info</b></p> <p><b>Appropriateness of the end-user community</b>  <i>Please rate how appropriate is the community described and the feasibility of the involvement plans</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Quality and engagement level of the end-user community</b>  <i>Please rate the level of vitality of the community and the representativeness of the end-user needs, as well as the applicant's experience with engaging such communities.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 6/10)</i></p>
<p><b>Section C: Innovation and impact</b></p> <p><b>Extent of potential benefits for the involved community</b>  <i>Please rate how realistic and extensive are the envisioned benefits from joining the symbloTe ecosystem.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p> <p><b>Community engagement and feedback</b>  <i>Please rate the potential of end-user engagement and the sustainability of the community after the end of the extension, as well as the quality of the feedback expected.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p><b>Score:</b>  <i>(Threshold 6/10)</i></p>
<p><b>Section D: Implementation</b></p>	<p><b>Score:</b></p>

<p><b>Feasibility of work plan</b> <i>Please rate how feasible is the work plan based on the requested duration, budget and timing of deliverables.</i></p> <p>★★★★★</p>	<p>(Threshold 3/5)</p>
--	------------------------